



Review of History of Sabaltarn and Oppressed

Prof. Dr. Rajkumar S. Kamble

Head, Dept. of History, Smt. Sushiladevi Deshmukh Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Latur Dist. Latur (M.S.)

Corresponding Author - Prof. Dr. Rajkumar S. Kamble

DOI- 10.5281/zenodo.11653831

Preamble:

Out of the modern thoughts of History writers, it is the search of Sabaltarn or oppressed general people as a new stream. It is the thought of Sabaltarn History which creates a new chapter in the history writing as a new theme of thoughts. From that what is exactly meant by History? The answer of this question could not have been given uptillnow. Its answer has been started to be given in the last two decades of twentieth century. The view of Sabaltarn History came to rise in the nation of England in Europe.

Objectives :

- To study the history of Deprived, Oppressed constituents
- To take stock of the important contribution of deprived, oppressed class in the development of the nation.

Meaning and nature of Sabaltarn Concept :

In the last century of 19th century and in the beginning of the 20th century that means in the period of last 40 – 42 years, the concept Sabaltarn came to rise in western countries. Antonio Gramsci was the founder president of the Italian Communist party. There was a great effect of the thoughts of Marxist ideology on him. It is the challenge of the researcher Gramsci to the historians that, while narrating the information of the past, many challenges should have been accepted. Just accepting those challenges shall not suffice, but, alongwith the events happened in the community, there is an another group which is concealed, pressurized in the community. It can be termed as the secondary group. This secondary group means the common people or person, the way of its contribution should have been sorted out. Due to those of his thoughts, there was rise of Sabaltarn concept.

The thoughts of Sabaltarn was to infuse encouragement, feelings, wishes, self pride in the people of root area. Infact the class of common people is more active than the intellectual class in the general happening of the commons. As there is a large wealth with the intellectual people, so they get the work done from the commons. The credit of their success are tried to be harnessed by them. Since the ancient times to today's history, the same thing is happening.

For taking search of the deeds of those general people, a munch was formed. The first conference of this munch was held in Australia in the year 1982. As there was good response to this conference, so in the year 1986, a conference was

convened in Kolkata. As there were intellectuals in creating the history, so as well, as there commons as well. Their contribution was important. The thoughts of Sabaltarn was being developed from the same thought.

Minor Constituents in History and Search of Soldiers :-

The study of history is always done by way of the upper level. Due to such kind of history only, we came to know the noncontributory of the effective constituents. For example, there is entry of the General in the war. General and King are greeted. The real owner of the success are the General and King only. There is no simple mention of the brave soldiers who fight in actual in the battle. In the success of battle, as the leadership of the General, the trap identified by him are of more importance, accordingly or more than that, the bravery of the soldiers and their strong fight is of vital importance.

The historians uptill now have not taken cognizance of those particular deeds. The unattended class of the commons makes an important score in the history and he is deleted or he is neglected, such kind of feeling is created. Due to which, the thought of taking search of the history of the commons came forward in the modern world.

General group is the Real Maker of the History :

The famous Indian History writer Dr. Ranjit Guha, while depicting his opinion about that general group is the real producer of the history and while stating the same, he told that, the credit of his works should be given to him. The poor labour is the producer of his own history. No other person is responsible for the same. In this view, it can be said that, poor farmer, labour, herdsman, slave, Scheduled Caste, Tribe, Tribals, Dalit women – men, unattended class is the real awardee of the History as per the thoughts of Sabaltarn. If this class is kept aside and if the history of any nation is written, then the history written shall be left incomplete. IN the real means, they shall not be the

citizens of that nation as well. Uptill now, such kind of writing is made. Further, such kind of writing should not be done, and this is the way of giving real justice.

In the opinion of Dr. Ranjit Guha, while writing History, two groups viz. elite and partition should be made and the historic way should be considered. They should be divided in to separate groups and study should be undertaken. Accordingly their inter-relation should be explained. The entry of the leaders of the Independence movement of India and their duties is not the history. As per the theme of Sabaltarn, the acts and thoughts of leaders and general people, both classes should be considered jointly. In the history, the history of commons should be made clear, then it may be of India or of any other country in the world.

If the history of the people of root level, deprived is to be searched, then the means of its root of his history shall not be found. Then whether we are going to deprive them from the credit of their contribution by terming it as No Sources No History ? This is the issue. The new awareness in the history writing wishes to register their contribution. By way of newer amended process, the oral tradition is being refused. The history of deprived has been passing through various caste, forest caste, Vimukta bhatkya tribe, Tribal, forest residents etc.. This work although seems to be easy, but in reality, not very easy. Even then it is necessary to be done. Because by way of the same, the analysis as to why the deprived community becomes deprive from it, can be done. The entry of their deeds can be able to be taken. The self confidence of the deprived can be raised. Once the self confidence is enhanced then the further social personality development can be achieved and so the search of the deprived, history is to be taken. The oral convention of their duties, deeds is to be taken search of ! The search of participation of the deprived ones is to be taken.

Summary :

History writing is a very important process. In the history writing, alongwith all history writing stream viz. Capitalism, easternist, nationalist, Marxist, new Marxist, feminist, Ambedkarist, vice (Upashrayi) history writing is a new writing flow and on that basis, the depiction of the history shall be made and the need of re-writing of the history and re-analysis is being presented.

The history written so far has been one sided, partial, not showing the reality and the group on the lower level of the community, seems deprived from the reason place in the history of people. Any great person or intellectual group cannot create the history. True History is not of the supreme group but it is formulated through the group of commons. The credit of the victory of any battle is not of the King or General only but the soldiers who fights in reality on the actual battle

ground, those who supplies the material, ammunition also have an important contribution in the victory. If caves, sculptures, forts are formed in the period of any King, then its credit cannot be given to the King only, then the artisan, patharvat, artist, labour, all of those are the real contributors for it and it can be said firmly. Such kind of thought shall have to be made in case of all events – incidences and its entry shall have to be taken in the history. However uptill now, the entries by considering them general and being made in the history are not found. The same work is found in the study made by the historians by way of vice (upashrayi) that has come to new rise by way of history writing stream. So that, the persons who are the real liable for success shall get justice and accordingly, true history shall be written.

References:

1. Athawle Sadashiv : Itihasache Tatyadnyan wai, Pradnya Pathshala Mandal, 1967.
2. Kothekar Shanta : Itihas Tantra aani Tatwadnyan,
3. Shri Sainath Prakashan, Nagpur.
4. Gathal S. S., Kailash Publications, Aurangpura, Aurangabad
5. Gaikwad R.D., Sardesai B. N., Hanmane V. N. :
6. Itihas Lekhan Padhat Wa Aitihashik Smarke yanchara abhyas :
7. Fadke Publications, Kolhapur.
8. Prakashchandra Vyas – Itihas Lekhanshashtra
9. Abhijit Publication, Latur
10. Ameen Shahid : Subaltern Studies, Vol. I, IV - Ramjit Suha Edit.
11. Sharma Tejram : Hystography : A History of Historical Writing – 1941
12. Prabhakar Dev : Itihas ek shastra,
13. Kalpana Publications, Nanded